## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 3 4 James F. Meegan, II, 2:15-cv-02500-JAD-GWF 5 Petitioner **Order Granting Application to Proceed** in forma pauperis and Ordering 6 v. Petitioner to Show Cause Why Petition 7 Director James Cox, et al., Should not be Dismissed as Moot 8 Defendants [ECF 1] 9 10 Former Nevada state prisoner James F. Meegan, II, filed this § 2254 petition to challenge his August 2013 parole revocation. Meegan has also submitted an application to proceed in forma 11 12 pauperis.<sup>2</sup> Meegan indicates on the face of his petition that he does not seek to challenge his firstdegree murder conviction, only his 2013 parole revocation. And Meegan clearly states that the relief 13 he seeks is parole, but he was released on parole in August 2015.<sup>3</sup> 14 15 The federal habeas statute gives the United States district courts jurisdiction to entertain 16 petitions for habeas relief only from persons who are "in custody in violation of the Constitution or laws or treaties of the United States." A person who is on parole or probation at the time he files his 17 federal habeas petition satisfies the custody requirement, but the relief Meegan seeks is parole, and 18 19 he has already been released on parole. Because Meegan has already received the relief he requests, it appears that his petition is now moot.<sup>6</sup> I therefore give Meegan until May 19, 2016, to show that 20 21 his release on parole has not rendered his petition moot. If Meegan cannot demonstrate that his 22 <sup>1</sup> ECF No. 1-1. 23

27

28

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> ECF No. 1.

<sup>25</sup> ECF No. 1-1.

<sup>26 4 28</sup> U.S.C. § 2241(c)(3) (emphasis added); see also 28 U.S.C. § 2254(a).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Jones v. Cunningham, 371 U.S. 236, 240–43 (1963).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See, e.g., Spencer v. Kemna, 523 U.S. 1 (1998).

	Case 2:15-cv-02500-JAD-GWF Document 2 Filed 04/19/16 Page 2 of 2
1	petition satisfies the case-or-controversy requirement by that date, his petition will be dismissed with
2	prejudice and without further notice.
3	Conclusion
4	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner's application to proceed in forma
5	pauperis [ECF 1] is GRANTED.
6	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that petitioner has until May 19, 2016, to show cause why
7	his petition should not be dismissed as moot. If petitioner fails to show cause by that deadline, his
8	petition will be dismissed with prejudice and without further notice.
9	Dated this 19th day of April, 2016.
10	Notes -
11	Jennifer A Dorsey United States District Judge
12	Office States District Mage
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	